

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/476,253	12/30/99	WATSON		J ·	PC9731A	
023913 PFIZER INC 235 E 42ND STREET		HM22/0327	コ	EXAMINER		
				DELACROIX MUIRHEI,C		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK NY	10017			1614		
				DATE MAILED:	03/27/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/476,253

Applicant(s)

WATSON et al.

Examiner

Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid

Group Art Unit 1614



Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL .				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure tapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the			
Disposition of Claims				
X Claim(s) 1-41	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above, claim(s)				
Claim(s)				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			
☐ Claim(s)				
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗖 approved disapproved.			
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	f the priority documents have been			
☐ received.				
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun	nber)			
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*Certified copies not received:				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s)				
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s)			
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	18			
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON 1	THE FOLLOWING PAGES			

Application/Control Number: 09/476,253

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Due to the comple nature of the claims, no request for an oral election is being made. Please see MPEP 812.01.

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the 1. claimed invention: a method for treating or preventing stasis in all or parts of the stomach of a patient comprising administering either a compound of (1) Formula IA or a compound of (2) Formula IB. Applicant is respectfully requested to elect either (1) Formula IA or (2) Formula IB.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/476,253

Art Unit: 1614

¬ Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 3.

should be directed to Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid whose telephone number is (703) 306-3227.

March 26, 2001

bull Delacing m